P20294.A07

Still further, the requirement alleges unpatentability of claim 1, but does not explicitly apply

any prior art. Instead, the requirement makes an mere allegation of unpatentability by using the term

"suggested", and merely references U.S. Patent No. 6,023,659 without supplying a copy, listing the

document on a Form PTO-892, or indicating how the claimed subject matter is "suggested".

Clearly, this is not appropriate for establishing unpatentability of the claimed subject matter.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner seriously reconsider

the requirement, and withdraw the same so as to give an examination on the merits on all of the

claims pending in this application.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, it is respectfully submitted that the requirement for

restriction is improper because unity of invention is present. Withdrawal of the requirement for the

restriction with examination of all pending claims is respectfully requested.

Favorable consideration with early allowance of the application is most earnestly requested.

If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is respectfully invited to contact the

undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

Akiko ITAI et all

Bruce H. Bernstein Reg. No. 29,027

December 27, 2002

GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.

1941 Roland Clarke Reston, VA 20191

(703) 716-1191

- 5 -